IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION I.A. NO. OF 2024 IN WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 188 of 2004

IN THE MATTER OF:

M/S Raiganj Consumer Forum

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

... Respondents

AND IN THE MATTER OF:

Krishna Devi & Ors.

... Applicants/Intervenors

INDEX

SI. NO.	PARTICULARS	PAGES
1	Application for Impleadment alongwith Affidavit.	1 – 14
2	Vakalatnama alongwith Memo of Appearance.	15 - 21

Filed by;

(AAKASH NANDOLIA)

Counsel for the Intervenors/Applicants Chamber No. 523, 5th Floor, D-Block, Addl. Building Complex, Supreme Court of India, New Delhi -110001 Email: aakash.nandolia9@gmail.com Mob. No. 9650498098

PLACE: NEW DELHI DATED: 03.10.2024

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION I.A. NO. OF 2024

IN

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 188 of 2004

IN THE MATTER OF:

M/s Raiganj Consumer Forum

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

... Respondents

AND IN THE MATTER OF:

- 1. Krishna Devi, W/o Shri Om Prakash Bhola, R/o 787, Sec-26, Panchkula, Haryana-134116
- Shiv Om, S/o Shri Om Prakash Bhola R/o 787, Sec-26, Panchkula, Haryana-134116
- Hari Om, S/o Shri Om Prakash Bhola R/o 787, Sec-26, Panchkula, Haryana-134116
- Mrs. Taruna, W/o Sh. Hari Om R/o 787, Sec-26, Panchkula, Haryana-134116
- 5. Kalyani, Daughter of Sh. Om Prakash Bhola R/o 787, Sec-26, Panchkula, Haryana-134116

... Applicants/Intervenors

APPLICATION FOR IMPLEADMENT ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANTS

To,

The Hon'ble Chief Justice And His Companion Justices of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India

The humble application of the Applicants above named

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

- The Applicants herein have filed an accompanying application seeking direction on behalf of Petitioners in the captioned petition (Petition) pending before this Hon'ble Court.
- 2. The captioned writ petition along with the other petitions and transferred cases are pending adjudication before this Hon'ble Court wherein this Hon'ble Court is adjudicating the grievance of 14 Lacs approx. investors of Golden Forest India Ltd. *(hereinafter referred to as GFIL)* and its subsidiaries which had raised funds from innocent investors and later on duped them by siphoning off the funds.
- **3.** At the outset it is submitted that the Applicant would be directly affected by the outcome of the present proceedings and are therefore filing the present application seeking intervention before this Hon'ble Court.
- 4. In 1998, SEBI had filed a Writ Petition (PIL) No. 344 of 1998 before the Bombay High Court to protect interest of investors of GFIL. The Hon'ble High Court admitted the aforesaid PIL and permitted GFIL to sell its 19 properties. Upon request made by GFIL, the Hon'ble Bombay high Court appointed a receiver forsale of the prescribed 19 properties. It is pertinent to note that above order was not publicized and no authorities like the Tehsildar or the Land Registrar were informed about this fact.

- 5. It is pertinent to note that the property/ land in question is Land admeasuring 43 Kanals 14 Marlas (5.46 Acre approx.)situated in Village-Billa, Tehsil and District-Panchkula, Haryana comprising of Khewat/Khatoni No. 245/252 being Khasra No. 38//13/2 (7-0) -14 (8-0)-15(8-0)-17(8-0)-18(8-0)-19(8-0)-20(5-12) admeasuring 27 Kanal 11 Marlas and in Khewat/Khatoni No. 289/297 in Khasra No. 37/16 (10-18)-24/1(2-9) and Khasra No. 38/9/2 (4-14)-11/1(2-8)-11/2 (7-18)-12/1(1-0)-20/2(2-8) admeasuring 16 Kanal 3 Marlas in Village -Billa, Hadbast No. 237, Tehsil and District-Panchkula, Haryana.
- 6. That the GFIL had originally authorized one Kehar Singh to dispose the land admeasuring 86 Kanals 10 Marla belonging to GFIL in village Billa, Tehsil Kalka District Panchkula. The land in question formed a part of this property.
- It is pertinent to mention that the resolution was found genuine and signature of the Director therein was admitted in Criminal Complaint No. 52 of 2004/2010.
- **8.** Out of the aforesaid land, Kehar Singh sold the entire land admeasuring 86 Kanals and 10 Marlas to one Narata Ram and others vide Sale Deed No. 2286/1 dated 20.03.2003.
- 9. Subsequently, the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court in C.P. No. 60/2001, appointed an Official Liquidator for GFIL. Subsequently, the Hon'ble Supreme Court transferred to itself the WP(PIL) No. 340/1998 from Bombay High Court & C.P. No. 60/2001 from Punjab and Haryana High Court. All other courts were restrained from proceeding with the matters of GFIL.

- **10.**This Hon'ble Court vide order dated 19.08.2004 constituted the Hon'ble Committee Golden Forests (India) Limited (hereinafter referred to as "the Committee") consisting of a Retired Judge of the Hon'ble High Court and an officer to be nominated each by the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) and Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). The Committee was required to take into its custody all the assets of the company (*Golden Forest India Ltd.*), wherever they may be, to issue advertisements in newspapers calling upon all creditors of the Company to submit the claim(s) before the
- 11.Out of 78 Kanals and 12 Marlas, Narata Ram &Ors. sold 45 Kanals& 5 Marlas (land in question) of land to Anita Rani vide Registered Sale Deed No. 897 dated 09.08.2006.

Committee.

- 12.Subsequently, Anita Rani sold the 43 Kanal 14 Marla land in question at the rate of Rs. 23,00,000/- per acre to the present Applicants vide Sale Deed No. 4277/1 dated 16.03.2011. The total consideration amount was Rs.1,25,63,750/- and a registered sale deed was executed on 16.03.2011 by paying Stamp Duty and other charges and registered at Sub-registrar's office, Panchkula.
- **13.**It is submitted that the applicants had disposed 2 Huda Plotsadmeasuring 14 Marla and also availed a bank loan to buy the land in question. It is pertinent to mention that the names of the Applicants were also mutated in the revenue book records for the land in question. The instant applicants had done their due diligence while purchasing the property in question to find out whether Anita Rani had clear title over the said land.

- 14. It is pertinent to mention that upon acquisition, the present Applicants had spent around Rs. 40 lakhs to make substantial improvements on the land in question, in the form of levelling, construction of fencing with pillars, two no. main gates, purchase of diesel generator, installation of tubewell, in procurement of electricity connection and installation of electricity transformer etc.. However, the land has now become barren since the Committee took its possession in May 2013.
- **15.**Meanwhile, vide Order dated 05.09.2006, this Hon'ble Court gave the Committee liberty to make appropriate suggestions to Courts with regard to the status of sale having taken place prior to the date of appointment of provisional liquidator i.e. 18.06.2003 by Punjab & Haryana High Court in CP No. 60 of 2001. Accordingly, the sale of land in question to Narata Ram and otherswas to be decided by the Committee in accordance with law.
- 16.In 2011, the Committee without taking possession of the land in question or issuing notice to the applicants, auction-sold the land to M/s SAS Properties After confirming the sale to M/s SAS Properties , the Committee issued a show-cause notice dated on 25.05.2012 to the present applicants and predecessors-in-interest for delivery of possession of land in favour of the Committee.
- 17.It is submitted that the first buyer-Narata Ram and others had no interest left in the land in question, therefore, didn't appear before the Committee. Accordingly, the Committee vide Order dared 30.7.2012 concluded the proceedings *ex-parte* and against Narata Ram and others.

- 18.With regard to the title of Anita Rani, the Committee vide Order dated 06.11.2012, held that since Narata Ram and others could not prove themselves to be *bonafide* purchasers, therefore Anita Rani hadno title over the land in question and that Anita Rani had purchased the land after the date of stay order passed by this Hon'ble Court on 17.08.2004.The Committee however, found that Anita Rani had equitable right under Sec.51 of the Transfer of Property Act and she was entitled to retain possession of the land in question on payment of current price of the land if she had made improvement on this land and opted for compensation under Section 51 of Transfer of Property act.
- 19.The Committee had also rejected the applicants' objections to the said show cause notice as the title of Anita Rani was found defective. The Committee recommended to the Hon'ble Delhi High Court to set aside the sale deed executed in favour of the applicants. It is pertinent to note that unlike Anita Rani, the Committee did not grant or recommended any remedy under Sec.51 of the Transfer of Property Act to the applicants.
- **20.**The applicants had also filed an application for setting aside of the Order dated 23.11.2012 passed by the Committee on the ground that the Committee had dismissed their submissions summarily and if the benefits under Sec. 51, Transfer of Property Act, is available to their predecessor in-interest, then same should be available to the Applicants as well. The Hon'ble High Court *vide* Order dated 01.04.2013 confirmed the Order passed by the Committee.

- 21.The instant applicants had also filed a review petition bearing RP No. 131/2014 before the Hon'ble High Court for review of Order dated 01.04.2013 passed in Application No. 595-596/2013 in W.P.(C) No. 1399/2010. The Hon'ble High Court was pleased to dismiss the said Review Petition on merits.
- 22.Aggrieved, the Applicants had filed Special Leave Petition bearing SLP (C) No. 34259/2014 challenging the Orders dated 05.09.2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in RP No. 131/2014 and Order dated 01.04.2013 passed in Application No. 595-596/2013 in W.P.(C) No. 1399/2010. This Hon'ble Court was pleased to dismiss SLP (C) No. 34259/2014 on 12.04.2016.
- 23.It is submitted that the Committee had also advertised a plot of land belonging to Engineering College as the part of the offered land whereas the same was not available for sale. As a result, M/s SAS properties had refused to move ahead with the purchase. Accordingly, the Hon'ble High Court recalled its order dated 11.08.2011 confirming the auction of sale of the land in question, consequently, the land in question was not sold and is still available for sale.
- 24.That one M/s Multy Innovative Educational & Research Society (MIERS), had purchased another piece of land owned by GFIL situated in Madhya Pradesh on 10.02.2016, unaware of the stay granted by this Hon'ble Court on sale of any of the properties owned by GFIL. The Society had also applied for change of use of land and after confirmation, had built a school on the land. The Committee had issued a show cause notice to MIERS and its

subsequent buyer Advantage Equifund Pvt Ltd (AEPL) for setting aside the aforesaid sale and take possession of the said land. Upon hearing contentions of AEPL, the Committee had granted an opportunity to AEPL to get the transaction regularized by depositing the circle rate for the year 2021-22 on 8.349 Hectare with the Committee, within 1 month from the date of confirmation of the Order by this Hon'ble Court.

- **25.**Further, Vide order dated 15.9.2022, the Committee granted an opportunity to MIERS to get the transaction regularized by depositing the circle rate for the year 2022-23.
- **26.**The order of the Committee in respect of MIERS and AEPL has been subsequently confirmed by this Hon'ble Court.
- **27.**That, in case of two other such bonafide purchasers, namely, M/s. Mishra and Mishra Ltd, and Kailash Agrawal, the Committee in its decision dated 31.08.2023 had stated the since Advantage Equifund had taken several steps to develop the property, it was given an opportunity to regularized the transaction. Similarly, in case of MIERS, the company had developed a school on the disputed land, accordingly Committee had held that the transaction regarding sale of 1.515 ha to MIERS can be treated as a voidable one by the Committee. An opportunity to MIERS was given to get the purchase transaction regularized and title perfected.
- **28.**It is submitted that this Hon'ble Court *vide* Order dated 28.02.2024 had allowed the said *bona fide* purchasers Mishra & Mishra Pvt.

Ltd. and Sh. Kailash Aggarwal to get their sale regularized upon payment of market rate of the disputed land.

- **29.**It is submitted that the present Applicants had made improvements on the land in question before issuance of the show cause notice by the Committee on 25-05-2012. The applicants are also *bona fide* purchasers as per Section 51 of the Transfer of Property Act. It is most respectfully submitted that although the SLP filed by the Applicants has been dismissed by this Hon'ble Court. However it is most respectfully submitted that the sale of the land in question has not taken place till date. In view of the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, it issubmitted that the applicants being similarly placed persons as MIERS and AEPL , as also being bonafide purchasers as Mishra & Mishra Pvt. Ltd. and Sh. Kailash Aggarwal may be treated with parity and may kindly be granted similar relief as granted tothe other bonafide purchasers as mentioned above.
- **30.**It is submitted that the Committee has made multiple attempts to sell the land in question. 4 auction notices have been published since 2014, however the sale of land has not been confirmed till date.
- **31.**On 07.08.2019, this Hon'ble Court ordered Income Tax Department to start the sale of the certain properties on "as is where is basis" and the amount be remitted to the Court. The land in question also forms part of these properties. Accordingly, the Recovery Department, Income Tax Department had published fifth auction notice in Punjab Kesari for sale of land in question on 06.01.2020.

- **32.**It is further submitted that in furtherance of this Hon'ble Court's order dated 24.01.2023, the Income Tax department had conducted the valuation of the properties of GFIL which are pending for sale. In the said valuation report, the value of the land in question was assessed at Rs. 590625.00 per Kanal.
- **33.**It is submitted that the Applicants were bonafide purchasers of the land in question for a valid consideration and through registered sale deed.
- **34.**It is submitted that the Applicants has done their due diligence while purchasing the property in question and there was no embargo on the sale of property which is evident from the records of the revenue entries and also in view of the report the Tehsildar Panchkula.
- **35.**It is most respectfully submitted that the restraining order imposed on the properties of Golden Forests (India) Limited and its subsidiary companies was not in the knowledge nor brought out in any record exercising the due diligence by the Applicants.
- **36.**It is submitted that the authorities also remained silent at the time of registration of the sale deed and at the time of granting the mutation order. There was no mention of any restraint or ofthe order passed by this Hon'ble Court by the concerned authorities at the relevant time. It is submittedthat there was a complete absence of information in judicial/ revenue records or in public knowledge with regard to any restraint on the transfer of the property. There

was no material with any party either at the stage of entering into the transaction or at any stage thereafter, till the issuance of the Warrant of Possession, to infer that sale were prohibited.

- **37.**As mentioned above, the Applicants stand on parity with the cases of M/s Advantage Equifund Ltd. and MIRES. Further, the applicants stand on parity with the cases of Mishra & Mishra Pvt. Ltd. and one Sh. Kailash Aggarwal who were granted relief vide order dated 28.2.2024.
- **38.**It is submitted that it has been more than 10 years since the Committee had rejected the application of the applicants over the land in question, however, the Committee has not been able to find any purchaser for the land and the Applicants having spent a valuable consideration for the same, and having made improvements over the land initially, can be granted an opportunity to regularize their sale.
- **39.**In view of the aforesaid, and for the detailed reasons stated hereinabove, it is submitted that this Hon'ble Court may kindly see the plight of the Applicant and pass appropriate directions as prayed for in the accompanying Application.
- **40.**It is submitted that the contents of accompanying Application for Directions may be treated as a part of the present Application, and the same are not being repeated herein for the sake of brevity.

PRAYER

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances and on the grounds mentioned herein above, it is most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to:

- a) Allow the present Application and allow the Applicant to intervene/implead in W.P. (C.) No. 188 of 2004; and
- b) Any other and further order(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case and in the interest of justice.

AND FOR SUCH ACT OF KINDNESS THE APPLICANTS AS ARE DUTY BOUND SHALL EVER PRAY.

Filed By;

(AAKASH NANDOLIA) Advocate for Applicants/ Intervenors

New Delhi Filed On: 02.10.2024

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION I.A. NO. OF 2024

IN

WRIT PETITION (C) No. 188 OF 2004

BETWEEN:

M/s Raiganj Consumer Forum

... Petitioner

AND

Union of India & Ors.

... Respondents

AND IN THE MATTER OF:

Krishna Devi & Ors.

... Applicants

AFFIDAVIT

I, Shiv Om S/o Shri Om Prakash Bhola, Aged About 37 years, R/o House No. 787, Sector-26, Panchkula, Haryana, presently at New Delhi do hereby solemnly affirm and state as under:-

1. That I am one of the Applicants in the above petition and as such conversant with the facts of the present case and competent to file this Affidavit.

2. That I have read and understood the contents of accompanying Impleadment. I say that what is stated therein is true to my knowledge and belief and based on the office record.

3. Annexures filed with the Impleadment are true copies of their respective originals.

VERIFICATION:

I, the deponent above named, do hereby verify that the contents of paras 1 to 3 of my above affidavit are true to my knowledge, no part of it is false and nothing material has been concealed therefrom.

Verified at New Delhi on this the day of, 2024.



Shiv Um.

NOTARY PUBLIE

- 3 OCT 2024

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL / CRIMINAL / APPELLATE / ORIGINAL / JURISDICTION I.A. NO. **OF 2024**

IN

WRIT PETITION (C) No. 188 OF 2004

BETWEEN:

M/s Raigani Consumer Forum

... Petitioner(s)

AND

Union of India & Ors.

... Respondent(s)

VAKALATNAMA

I,Shiv.Om....., Applicant in the above Petition, do hereby appoint and retain ...Mr. Aakash Nandolia ..., Advocate, Supreme Court of India to act and appear for me/us in the above Suit/Appeal/Petition/Reference and on my/our behalf to conduct and prosecute (or defend) or withdraw the same and all proceedings that may be taken in respect of any application connected with the same or any decree or order passed therein, including proceedings in taxation and application for Review, to file and obtain return of documents and to deposit and receive money on my/our behalf in the said Suit/ Appeal/Petition/ Reference and in application for Review and to represent me/us and to take all necessary steps on my/our behalf in the above matter. I/We agree to ratify all acts done by the aforesaid Advocate in pursuance of this Authority.

Dated this the 03	ay of October 2024.
Alaller.	(Shiv Om) PETITIONER(S)/RESPONDENT(S)
Accepted, Identified, Certified & Satisfied	
(Aakash Nandolia), Advocate MEMO OF AI	PPEARANCE
To The Registrar,	
Supreme Court of India, New Delhi.	
Respondent(s)/Opposite Parties/Intervene	behalf of the Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)/ er No in the matter 3 day ofOctober 2024.
-B (Reg. No. 19591 C) Expiry On 03/02/2025	Yours faithfully,
WYGON	Åakash Nandolia) Advocate for the Petitioner/Respondent (CODE NO. 3496)
Address: ATTESTED	No. 1997 August States and States
Phone No. OTARY PUBLI	E - 2 NCT 2024

- 3 OCT 2024

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL / CRIMINAL / APPELLATE / ORIGINAL / JURISDICTION **OF 2024** I.A. NO.

IN

WRIT PETITION (C) No. 188 OF 2004

BETWEEN:

M/S. RAIGANJ CONSUMER FORUM

... Petitioner(s)

... Respondent(s)

AND

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

VAKALATNAMA

I, Hari Om So Sh. Om Parkash Applicant in the above Petition, do hereby appoint and retain, Advocate, Supreme Court of India to act and appear for me/us in the above Suit/Appeal/Petition/Reference and on my/our behalf to conduct and prosecute (or defend) or withdraw the same and all proceedings that may be taken in respect of any application connected with the same or any decree or order passed therein, including proceedings in taxation and application for Review, to file and obtain return of documents and to deposit and receive money on my/our behalf in the said Suit/ Appeal/Petition/ Reference and in application for Review and to represent me/us and to take all necessary steps on my/our behalf in the above matter. I/We agree to ratify all acts done by the aforesaid Advocate in pursuance of this Authority.

Ju SEP 2024

(Hari Om)

PETITIONER(S) RESPONDENT(S)

Accepted, Identified, Certified & Satisfied

.....

(Aakash Nandolia), Advocate

MEMO OF APPEARANCE

То

OF

The Registrar, Supreme Court of India, New Delhi.



Sir,

Please enter my appearance on behalf of the Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)/ Respondent(s)/Opposite Parties/Intervener No. in the matter

Yours faithfully,

х

(Aakash Nandolia) Advocate for the Petitioner/Respondent (CODE NO. 3496)

Address: Chamber No. 523, 5th Floor, D-Block, Addl. Building Complex, Supreme Court of India, New Delhi-110001

Phone No. 9650498098

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA civil / criminal / appellate / original / jurisdiction 1.A. NO. OF 2024 IN

WRIT PETITION (C) No. 188 OF 2004

BETWEEN:

M/S. RAIGANJ CONSUMER FORUM

... Petitioner(s)

... Respondent(s)

AND

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

VAKALATNAMA

1. Tanking W. a. Marillin, Applicant in the above Petition, do hereby appoint and retain, Advocate, Supreme Court of India to act and appear for me/us in the above Suit/Appeal/Petition/Reference and on my/our behalf to conduct and prosecute (or defend) or withdraw the same and all proceedings that may be taken in respect of any application connected with the same or any decree or order passed therein, including proceedings in taxation and application for Review, to file and obtain return of documents and to deposit and receive money on my/our behalf in the said Suit/ Appeal/Petition/ Reference and in application for Review and to represent me/us and to take all necessary steps on my/our behalf in the above matter. I/We agree to ratify all acts done by the afore said Advocate in pursuance of this Authority.

(Mrs. Taruna)

PETITIONER(S)/RESPONDENT(S)

Accepted, Identified, Certified & Satisfied

Accepted, Menuleu, Certaned & Salished

(Aakash Nandolia), Advocate

SEP 2024

MEMO OF APPEARANCE

To

The Registrar, Supreme Court of India, New Delhi.



Sir.

Yours faithfully,

(Aakash Nandolia) Advocate for the Petitioner/Respondent (CODE NO. 3496)

Address: Chamber No. 523, 5th Floor, D-Block, Addl. Building Complex, Supreme Court of India, New Delhi-110001 Phone No. 9650498098

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL / CRIMINAL / APPELLATE / ORIGINAL / JURISDICTION 1.A. NO. OF 2024

IN

WRIT PETITION (C) No. 188 OF 2004

BETWEEN:

M/s Raiganj Consumer Forum

... Petitioner(s)

... Respondent(s)

AND

Union of India & Ors.

VAKALATNAMA

PETITIONER(S)/RESPONDENT(S)

Accepted, Identified, Certified & Satisfied

(Aakash Nandolia), Advocate

MEMO OF APPEARANCE

To

The Registrar, Supreme Court of India, New Delhi.

Sir,



Yours faithfully,

(Aakash Nandolia) Advocate for the Petitioner/Respondent (CODE NO, 3496)

Address: Chamber No. 523, 5th Floor, D-Block, Additional Building Complex, Supreme Court of India,New Delhi-110001 Phone No. 9650498098

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL / CRIMINAL / APPELLATE / ORIGINAL / JURISDICTION **OF 2024** I.A. NO.

IN

WRIT PETITION (C) No. 188 OF 2004

BETWEEN:

M/s Raiganj Consumer Forum

... Petitioner(s)

... Respondent(s)

AND

Union of India & Ors.

VAKALATNAMA

I, Om larkesh Bholg So. Viogar Sing Applicant in the above Petition, do hereby act and appear for me/us in the above Suit/Appeal/Petition/Reference and on my/our behalf to conduct and prosecute (or defend) or withdraw the same and all proceedings that may be taken in respect of any application connected with the same or any decree or order passed therein, including proceedings in taxation and application for Review, to file and obtain return of documents and to deposit and receive money on my/our behalf in the said Suit/ Appeal/Petition/ Reference and in application for Review and to represent me/us and to take all necessary steps on my/our behalf in the above matter. I/We agree to ratify all acts done by the Inaforesaid Advocate in pursuance of this Authority.

T. OF 24t	h day of	Sep	2024.
Dated this the		(Om Prakash Bhola)	
2 2 1 850 2004		asin	X
TKAST QULLA 2024		PETITIONER(S)/RE	SPONDENT(5)
Accepted, Identified, Certified	& Satisfied		

), Advocate

MEMO OF APPEARANCE (Aakash Nandolia

To

Notal

The Registrar, Supreme Court of India, New Delhi.

	ARE FUND STAMP
0685228	

Sir,

Please enter my appearance on behalf of the Petitioner(s)/Appellant(s)/ Respondent(s)/Opposite Parties/Intervener No. in the matter

Yours faithfully,

(Aakash Nandolia) Advocate for the Petitioner/Respondent (CODE NO. 3496

Address: Additional Building Complex,

Supreme Court of India, New Delhi-110001

Phone No. 9650498098



SPECIAL POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR A COURT CASE

BY THIS POWER OF ATTORNEY I, Kalyani daughter of Shri. Om Parkash Bhola residing at Hno 931-P Sector 26 Panchkula plaintiff in Writ Petition civil suit No. 188 of 2004 hereinafter referred to as the said suit, pending in the Supreme court hereby nominate, constitute and appoint Shri Om Parkash Bhola son of Shri Ujagar Singh resident of Hno 787 Sector 26 Panchkula as my attorney for me, in my name and on my behalf to do or execute all or any of the following acts or things in connection with the said suit:

- 1. To represent me before the said court or in any other, where the said suit is transferred in connection with the said suit.
- To engage or appoint any solicitor, counsel, advocate, pleader or lawyer to conduct the said suit.
- 3. To prosecute the said suit and proceedings, to sign and verify all plaints, pleadings, applications, petitions or documents before the court and to deposit, withdraw and receive document and any money or moneys from the court or from

2 4 SEP 2024

the defendant either in execution of the decree or otherwise and sign and deliver proper receipts for me and discharges for the same.

- 4. To apply for inspection and inspect documents and records, to obtain copies of documents and papers.
- 5. To compromise the suit in such manner as the said attorney shall think fit.
- 6. To do generally all other acts and things for the conduct of the said suit as I could have done the same if I were personally present.

And I hereby for myself, my heirs, executors, administrators and legal representatives, ratify and confirm and agree to ratify and confirm whatsoever our said attorney shall do or purport to do by Rean. No. 1680 virtue of these presents. CHKULA

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I the said Kalyani has hereunto set and subscribed my hand this 24 day of September 2024. Signed and delivered by the within named Kalyani

WITNESSES;

C MOTIN DER SINGH) H NO772-A SE El-595 Parcheula 4. NO772-A SE El-595 Parcheula

Novers, rundung P-2001 09 Alue Identified b

Contents of the affidavit/GPA/SPA/Agreement/ Instrument readover/ explained to Depenent/ Declarant / Executant in his/her language who seemed generity understood the same and has admitted to be correct.

EXECUTANT

Identified by me Advocate

tikuLA (Haryana) Notary PAN

2 4 SEP 2024